

Appendix 3 – Initial Equalities Impact Assessment Screening Form

Initial Equalities Impact Assessment screening form

Prior to making the decision, the Council's decision makers considered the following: guide to decision making under the Equality Act 2010:

*The Council is a public authority. All public authorities when exercising public functions are caught by the Equality Act 2010 which became law in December 2011. In making any decisions and proposals, the Council - specifically members and officers - are required to have **due regard** to the **9** protected characteristics defined under the Act. These protected characteristics are: **age, disability, race, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and marriage & civil partnership***

The decision maker(s) must specifically consider those protected by the above characteristics:

- (a) To seek to ensure equality of treatment towards service users and employees;*
- (b) To identify the potential impact of the proposal or decision upon them.*

The Council will also ask that officers specifically consider whether:

- (A) The policy, strategy or spending decisions could have an impact on safeguarding and / or the welfare of children and vulnerable adults*
- (B) The proposed policy / service is likely to have any significant impact on mental wellbeing / community resilience (staff or residents)*

If the Council fails to give 'due regard', the Council is likely to face a Court challenge. This will either be through a judicial review of its decision making, the decision may be quashed and/or returned for it to have to be made again, which can be costly and time-consuming diversion for the Council. When considering 'due regard', decision makers must consider the following principles:

- 1. **The decision maker is responsible for identifying whether there is an issue and discharging it.** The threshold for one of the duties to be triggered is low and will be triggered where there is any issue which needs at least to be addressed.*
- 2. **The duties arise before the decision or proposal is made, and not after and are ongoing.** They require **advance** consideration by the policy decision maker with conscientiousness, rigour and an open mind. The duty is similar to an open consultation process.*
- 3. The decision maker must be **aware of the needs of the duty**.*
- 4. The **impact of the proposal or decision must be properly understood first**. The amount of regard due will depend on the individual circumstances of each case. The greater the potential impact, the greater the regard.*
- 5. **Get your facts straight first!** There will be no due regard at all if the decision maker or those advising it make a fundamental error of fact (e.g. because of failing to properly inform yourself about the impact of a particular decision).*
- 6. What does 'due regard' entail?*
 - a. **Collection and consideration of data and information;***

- b. Ensuring data is sufficient to assess the decision/any potential discrimination/ensure equality of opportunity;**
 - c. Proper appreciation of the extent, nature and duration of the proposal or decision.**
7. **Responsibility** for discharging can't be delegated or sub-contracted (although an equality impact assessment ("EIA") can be undertaken by officers, decision makers must be sufficiently aware of the outcome).
 8. **Document the process** of having due regard! Keep records and make it transparent! If in any doubt carry out an equality impact assessment ("EIA"), to test whether a policy will impact differentially or not. Evidentially an EIA will be the best way of defending a legal challenge. See hyperlink for the questions you should consider <http://occweb/files/seealsodocs/93561/Equalities%20-%20Initial%20Equality%20Impact%20Assessment%20screening%20template.doc>
1. Within the aims and objectives of the policy or strategy which group (s) of people has been identified as being potentially disadvantaged by your proposals? What are the equality impacts?

Disabled users, Visually impaired users and Neurodiverse users

2. In brief, what changes are you planning to make to your current or proposed new or changed policy, strategy, procedure, project or service to minimise or eliminate the adverse equality impacts?

Please provide further details of the proposed actions, timetable for making the changes and the person(s) responsible for making the changes on the resultant action plan

The Oxpens car park adjacent to the Oxford Ice Rink (OIR) is currently used by visitors to the OIR.

The proposals are to redevelop the surface car park as part of the OxWED scheme, currently at pre-application stage, planning application is due for submission this year.

The EQIA is reviewing the provision of operational parking for staff and patrons with accessibility issues (i.e. those less mobile, wheelchair users, with particular special/ educational need, etc.), people with heavy equipment. Maintaining the ease and ability to drop-off/collect and park is a high priority.

Feedback from OIR users and key stakeholders demonstrates a need for approximately 25 car parking spaces, including 3 disabled spaces close to the entrance, and a safe drop off provision. Some form of clear marking for partially sighted users will also need to be incorporated as well as locations for guide dogs to be rested and watered.

The car park is not due to close in the near future, but further design input is required.

The Project Manager will be Steve Weitzel.

3. Please provide details of whom you will consult on the proposed changes and if you do not plan to consult, please provide the rationale behind that decision.

Please note that you are required to involve disabled people in decisions that impact on them.

Feedback from OIR users and key stakeholders has been received and feedback will be given and recommendations acted on. We intend to continue to liaise with them as the design progresses.

We will also share this project design and development with the Inclusive Transport and Movement group & Equalities Officer at the appropriate milestones.

4. Can the adverse impacts you identified during the initial screening be justified without making any adjustments to the existing or new policy, strategy, procedure, project or service?

Please set out the basis on which you justify making no adjustments

No adverse impacts have been identified as the design of the new car parking will bring disabled parking spaces closer to the entrance and allow for design enhancements for visually impaired users.

The benefits of this scheme outweigh any potential or reviewed disadvantages and this scheme can be recommended in the light of this EQIA. The financial impact of any changes are all part of the economic planning and no additional monies need to be allocated towards equality mitigation measures.

5. You are legally required to monitor and review the proposed changes after implementation to check they work as planned and to screen for unexpected equality impacts.

Please provide details of how you will monitor/evaluate or review your proposals and when the review will take place

The impact of the changes will be monitored as part of our routine monitoring and governance arrangements and the EQIA updated at key milestone points.

Lead officer responsible for signing off the EqlA: Steve Weitzel

Role: Regeneration Manager

Date: 20/07/2022

Approved By: Wendy Hind

Date: 22/07/2022

This page is intentionally left blank